arXiv:1201.5590v1 [quant-ph] 26 Jan 2012

Quantum Contextuality Emerging from Relativity

Jing-Ling Chen,[1, 2, *] Hong-Yi Su,[1, 2] Chunfeng Wu,[2] Dong-Ling Deng,[3] Adán Cabello,[4, 5, †] L. C. Kwek,[2, 6, ‡] and C. H. Oh[2, 7, §]

[1] *Theoretical Physics Division, Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China*
[2] *Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543*
[3] *Department of Physics and MCTP, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA*
[4] *Departamento de Física Aplicada II, Universidad de Sevilla, E-41012 Sevilla, Spain*
[5] *Department of Physics, Stockholm University, S-10691 Stockholm, Sweden*
[6] *National Institute of Education and Institute of Advanced Studies, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616*
[7] *Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117542*

(Dated: January 27, 2012)

The quantum predictions for a single nonrelativistic spin-1/2 particle can be reproduced by noncontextual hidden variables. Here we show that quantum contextuality naturally emerges if relativistic effects are taken into account. Specifically, we show that the contextuality of a free relativistic electron and of a relativistic electron moving in a Coulomb potential (a relativistic hydrogen atom) can be observed through the violation of noncontextual inequalities. We discuss how to experimentally observe quantum contextuality on a free relativistic electron.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Ud, 03.30.+p, 42.50.Xa

Introduction.—Noncontextual hidden variable theories assume that the results of measurements are independent of which other compatible observables are jointly measured [1]. The Kochen-Specker (KS) theorem [2] states that noncontextual hidden variables cannot reproduce the predictions of quantum mechanics for systems of dimension $d \geq 3$. This is known as quantum contextuality and is state-independent: for any dimension $d \geq 3$, there are universal sets of quantum observables which prove contextuality for any state of the system. Moreover, it has been recently shown that for any physical system of $d \geq 3$ there is an inequality satisfied by any noncontextual hidden variable theory but which is violated, for any quantum state, by a universal set of quantum observables [3, 4]. Recent experiments have confirmed state-independent quantum contextuality [5, 6]. The significance of these results can be summarized in the statement that, for systems of dimension higher than two, there are no "classical" (i.e., noncontextual) states [4].

However, there still remains a debate on whether quantum contextuality can be defined on systems of dimension two such as a single spin-1/2 particle. Using the standard approach of the KS theorem, based on von Neumann projective measurements, it is impossible to define contextuality on a single qubit, since every qubit observable is only compatible with itself and hence only appears in one measurement context. By adopting positive operator-valued measurements, Cabello [7] and Nakamura [8] have shown that a single qubit exhibits a form of contextuality. However, Grudka and Kurzyński [9] have criticized this approach by pointing out that the contextuality in [7, 8] is different than the contextuality in the KS theorem. The problem of whether a single spin-1/2 particle can exhibit KS contextuality remains a pending problem.

Here we adopt a completely different perspective. We start with a specific physical qubit: the spin of an electron. Within the framework of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, the spin of an electron is treated as a two-dimensional system and does not exhibit KS contextuality. However, the situation dramatically changes when special relativity is taken into account.

By requiring the relativistic wave-equation to be a differential equation first-order with respect to time and spatial coordinates, and also be Lorentz invariant under space-time transformations, Dirac discovered his famous equation [10]. For an electron moving in a free space, its relativistic Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\rm e} = c\,\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p} + \beta M c^2, \tag{1}$$

with $\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{p}$ being the linear momentum, M the rest mass of the electron, c the speed of light in vacuum, $\vec{\sigma}$ the vector of Pauli matrices, and $\mathbb{1}$ the 2×2 identity matrix.

In free space, the angular momentum should be a conserved quantity. However, the orbital angular momentum $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ does not commute with $H_{\rm e}$ unless one adds it up with a quantity $\vec{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\vec{\Sigma}$, with $\vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\hbar$ the Planck constant. The quantity $\vec{S}$ is nothing but the intrinsic spin angular momentum. From $\vec{S}^2 = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2$ one may determine that its spin value is $\frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, the spin-1/2 angular momentum has a natural origin within relativistic quantum mechanics. According to Landau and Lifshitz, "this property of elementary particles [the spin] is peculiar to quantum mechanics (...) and therefore has in principle no classical interpretation" [11]. An immediate question arises: Is there KS contextuality for a single spin-1/2 particle within the framework of relativistic quantum mechanics?

In this Letter, we provide an affirmative answer to this question and demonstrate that contextuality naturally emerges from a relativistic treatment. We first investigate the free Dirac electron Hamiltonian and prove its quantum contextuality through a noncontextual inequality. We then consider a single relativistic hydrogen atom (i.e., a relativistic electron moving in the Coulomb potential), and prove that all eigenstates of the relativistic hydrogen atom violate the same noncontextual inequality. Finally, we propose a possible experimental test of quantum contextuality of the free Dirac electron.

Free Dirac electron.—The Hamiltonian $H_{\rm e}$, the linear momentum $\vec{p}$, and the helicity operator $\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{p}$ of the free Dirac electron are mutually commutative. For simplicity, we assume that the electron is moving in the z-direction. For a given momentum $\vec{p}=\hbar k\hat{e}_z$, energy $E=\sqrt{M^2c^4+\hbar^2c^2k^2}$, and helicity $\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{p}=\hbar k$, the four-spinor eigenstate reads

$$|\Psi_e^+\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_e}}\begin{pmatrix}\chi^+\\ \frac{c\hbar k}{Mc^2+E}\chi^+\end{pmatrix}e^{ikz}, \tag{2}$$

where the two-spinor $\chi^+=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$ is the spin-up state of σ_z, and $\mathcal{N}_e=2E/(Mc^2+E)$ is normalization constant.

Let us now consider the following noncontextual inequality:

$$\mathcal{I}=\langle AB\rangle+\langle BC\rangle+\langle CD\rangle-\langle DA\rangle\leq 2, \tag{3}$$

where A, B, C, and D are observables taking values ±1, and the pairs (A,B), (B,C), (C,D), and (D,A) contain compatible observables. The inequality (3) is similar to the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt (CHSH) Bell inequality but does not make the distinction between compatible observables which are spacelike separated and those which are not [12]. It is therefore a noncontextual inequality which can be tested on a noncomposite system. Indeed, this inequality has been used for testing contextuality on single photon [13] and on single neutron [14].

For a relativistic electron, one can consider the following observables:

$$A=\gamma^0, \tag{4a}$$

$$B=(\cos\theta\gamma^3+\sin\theta\gamma^1)\gamma^5, \tag{4b}$$

$$C=i\gamma^2, \tag{4c}$$

$$D=(-\cos\theta\gamma^3+\sin\theta\gamma^1)\gamma^5, \tag{4d}$$

where $\theta=\arctan\left(\frac{Mc^2}{E}\right)$, $\gamma^5=i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$, and γ's are the Dirac gamma matrices in the Weyl basis:

$$\gamma^0=\begin{pmatrix}0&\mathbb{1}\\ \mathbb{1}&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5a}$$

$$\gamma^1=\begin{pmatrix}0&\sigma_x\\ -\sigma_x&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5b}$$

$$\gamma^2=\begin{pmatrix}0&\sigma_y\\ -\sigma_y&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5c}$$

$$\gamma^3=\begin{pmatrix}0&\sigma_z\\ -\sigma_z&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5d}$$

For the four-spinor $|\Psi_e^+\rangle$, the quantum prediction reads

$$\mathcal{I}^{\rm QM}=2\sqrt{2-\frac{c^2\hbar^2k^2}{E^2}}=2\sqrt{2-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}, \tag{6}$$

where $v=c^2|\vec{p}|/E$ is the velocity of the electron.

Clearly, the noncontextual inequality (3) is violated for any $v<c$. A similar conclusion can also be drawn for the other four-spinor eigenstate $|\Psi_e^-\rangle$ with negative helicity of $\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{p}=-\hbar k$. Therefore, we conclude that quantum contextuality of the free Dirac electron can emerge under a relativistic treatment. It is worth mentioning that contextuality persists for $v=0$, suggesting that the coupling between momentum and spin angular momentum is really not the only reason that gives rise to contextuality.

Relativistic hydrogen atom.—It is also interesting to study the quantum contextuality of a relativistic electron moving in a Coulomb potential. This is just a model of a single relativistic hydrogen atom. The corresponding Dirac Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\rm rha}=c\,\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p}+\beta Mc^2-\frac{\hbar ca}{r}, \tag{7}$$

with $a=e^2/\hbar c\simeq 1/137.036$ being the fine structure constant, and e the electric charge. The energy spectrum is given by the Sommerfeld formula [15]

$$\frac{E}{Mc^2}=\left(1+\frac{a^2}{(n-|\kappa|+\sqrt{\kappa^2-a^2})^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \tag{8}$$
$$|\kappa|=(j+1/2)=1,2,3,\ldots,\qquad n=1,2,3,\ldots$$

Simultaneous eigenfunctions of $\{H_{\rm rha},\vec{J}^2,J_z\}$ are twofold Krammer's degeneracies [16–18], i.e.,

$$|\psi^+_{njm_j}(\vec{r})\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}\begin{pmatrix}if(r)\phi^A_{jm_j}\\ g(r)\phi^B_{jm_j}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{9a}$$

$$|\psi^-_{njm_j}(\vec{r})\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}\begin{pmatrix}if(r)\phi^B_{jm_j}\\ g(r)\phi^A_{jm_j}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{9b}$$

where $K|\psi^\pm_{njm_j}(\vec{r})\rangle=\pm|\kappa||\psi^\pm_{njm_j}(\vec{r})\rangle$, with $K=\beta(\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}/\hbar+1)$ being the Dirac operator, $K^2=\vec{J}^2/\hbar^2+1/4$, and $\vec{J}=\vec{L}+\vec{S}$ the total angular momentum operator. $|\psi^\pm_{njm_j}(\vec{r})\rangle$ corresponds to $\kappa=\pm(j+1/2)$, $j=l\pm1/2$, and m_j runs from $-j$ to j. For $n = |\kappa|$, κ only takes $j + 1/2$, or $j = n - 1/2$. $\mathcal{N} = \int_0^{+\infty} r^2[f^2(r) + g^2(r)]dr$ is the normalization constant. The exact solutions of $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ are [16–18]

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r) = \sqrt{Mc^2+E}[-\tilde{n}\; {}_1F_1(1-\tilde{n}, 2\nu+1, \rho) \\
+ (Mc^2 a\lambda + \kappa){}_1F_1(-\tilde{n}, 2\nu+1, \rho)]\; \rho^{\nu-1} e^{-\rho/2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{10a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(r) = \sqrt{Mc^2-E}[\tilde{n}\; {}_1F_1(1-\tilde{n}, 2\nu+1, \rho) \\
+ (Mc^2 a\lambda + \kappa){}_1F_1(-\tilde{n}, 2\nu+1, \rho)]\; \rho^{\nu-1} e^{-\rho/2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{10b}
$$

where $\tilde{n} = n - |\kappa|$, $\nu = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - a^2}$, $\rho = 2r/\hbar c\lambda$, ${}_1F_1(p; q; z) = 1 + \frac{p}{q}\frac{z}{1!} + \frac{p(p+1)}{q(q+1)}\frac{z^2}{2!} + \cdots$ is confluent hypergeometric function, and $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{M^2c^4 - E^2}$. Finally,

$$
\phi^A_{jm_j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l+m+1}\; Y_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ \sqrt{l-m}\; Y_{l,m+1}(\vartheta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11a}
$$

$$
\phi^B_{jm_j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2l+3}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{l-m+1}\; Y_{l+1,m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ \sqrt{l+m+2}\; Y_{l+1,m+1}(\vartheta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11b}
$$

where $m = m_j - 1/2$ and $Y_{lm}(\vartheta, \varphi)$ are the spherical harmonics.

The quantum contextuality of a single relativistic hydrogen atom is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem: All eigenstates of H_{rha} violate the noncontextual inequality (3).

Proof: We introduce two sets of operators

$$
\vec{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_x, \Gamma_y, \Gamma_z) = (\gamma^0, \gamma^2\gamma^0, i\gamma^2), \tag{12a}
$$

$$
\vec{\Gamma}' = (\Gamma'_x, \Gamma'_y, \Gamma'_z) = (\gamma^3\gamma^5, i\gamma^3\gamma^1, \gamma^5\gamma^1). \tag{12b}
$$

Due to the anti-commutative relations $\gamma^i\gamma^j = -\gamma^j\gamma^i$, $i \neq j$, it is easy to prove that $\vec{\Gamma}$ and $\vec{\Gamma}'$ commute.

The ground states are twofold degenerated and are spanned by

$$
\left|\psi^+_{1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\right\rangle = \mathcal{W}(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} i\epsilon_+ \\ 0 \\ -\epsilon_- \cos\vartheta \\ -\epsilon_- \sin\vartheta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13a}
$$

$$
\left|\psi^+_{1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\right\rangle = \mathcal{W}(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i\epsilon_+ \\ -\epsilon_- \sin\vartheta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \epsilon_- \cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13b}
$$

with $\mathcal{W}(\rho) = (Mc^2 a\lambda + 1)\rho^{\nu-1}e^{-\rho/2}/\sqrt{4\pi\mathcal{N}}$, $\epsilon_\pm = \sqrt{Mc^2 \pm E}$.

For the state $|\psi^+_{1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$, we choose the following observables:

$$
A = \Gamma_x, \tag{14a}
$$

$$
B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma'_x - \Gamma'_z), \tag{14b}
$$

$$
C = \Gamma_z, \tag{14c}
$$

$$
D = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma'_x + \Gamma'_z). \tag{14d}
$$

Quantum mechanically, the expectation value is given by

$$
\langle AB \rangle \;=\; \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi \sin\vartheta d\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \langle\psi|AB|\psi\rangle, \tag{15}
$$

with a similarly expression for the other pairs. We obtain the quantum violation

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\text{QM}} = (1 + \sqrt{1-a^2})\sqrt{2} \simeq 2.82839, \tag{16}
$$

which is very close to $2\sqrt{2}$.

For the state $|\psi^+_{1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$, we choose the observables $A = \Gamma_x$, $B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma'_z - \Gamma'_x)$, $C = \Gamma_z$, and $D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma'_x + \Gamma'_z)$, and obtain the same value for the quantum violation $\mathcal{I}^{\text{QM}}$. This proves the case for the ground states.

For excited states with $\kappa > 0$, we consider the following observables:

$$
A = \Gamma_y, \tag{17a}
$$

$$
B = -\sin\xi\; \Gamma'_y + \cos\xi\; \Gamma'_z, \tag{17b}
$$

$$
C = \Gamma_z, \tag{17c}
$$

$$
D = \sin\xi\; \Gamma'_y + \cos\xi\; \Gamma'_z. \tag{17d}
$$

Substituting (17) into (3) and using $\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\int_0^\infty r^2 f^2(r)dr = (1+\mu)/2$, $\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\int_0^\infty r^2 g^2(r)dr = (1-\mu)/2$, $\mu = E/Mc^2$, the left-hand side of (3) becomes $2[-\frac{(2m+1)(\mu+2l+2)}{4l^2+8l+3}\cos\xi - \mu\sin\xi]$, which can reach

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\text{QM}} \;=\; 2\sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{(2m+1)^2(\mu+2l+2)^2}{(4l^2+8l+3)^2}} \tag{18a}
$$

$$
>\; 2\sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{(\mu+2l+2)^2}{(4l^2+8l+4)^2}} \tag{18b}
$$

$$
>\; 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{1-4a^2}{4(l+1)^2}} > 2. \tag{18c}
$$

In the step (18b), we take $m = 0$; in the step (18c), μ takes the minimal value $\sqrt{1 - a^2/\kappa^2}$ for $n = \kappa = l + 1$.

For excited states with $\kappa < 0$, we choose the same observables (17) as for the case of $\kappa > 0$. Then, the left-hand side of (3) becomes $2[\frac{(2m+1)(-\mu+2l+2)}{4l^2+8l+3}\cos\xi - \mu\sin\xi]$, which reaches the value

$$\mathcal{I}^{\rm QM} = 2\sqrt{\mu^2+\frac{(2m+1)^2(2l+2-\mu)^2}{(4l^2+8l+3)^2}} \tag{19a}$$

$$> 2\sqrt{\mu^2+\frac{(2l+1)^2}{(4l^2+8l+3)^2}} \tag{19b}$$

$$= 2\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{(2l+3)^2}-\frac{a^2}{l^2+1}} > 2. \tag{19c}$$

In the step (19b), we take $m = 0$; in the step (19c), μ^2 takes the minimal value $\mu^2_{\rm min} > 1 - \frac{a^2}{1+l^2}$ for $n = l + 1$. Therefore, the noncontextual inequality (3) is always violated. This completes the proof. ■

Proposed experimental test.—To test quantum contextuality on a free Dirac electron, it is convenient to convert the CHSH-like noncontextual inequality (3) into a Clauser-Horne-like (CH-like) [19] noncontextual inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{\rm CH} &= \langle \mathcal{P}_A\mathcal{P}_B\rangle + \langle \mathcal{P}_B\mathcal{P}_C\rangle + \langle \mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{P}_D\rangle - \langle \mathcal{P}_D\mathcal{P}_A\rangle \\ &\quad -\langle \mathcal{P}_B\rangle - \langle \mathcal{P}_C\rangle \le 0, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_A = \frac{\mathbb{1}+A}{2}$, $\mathcal{P}_B = \frac{\mathbb{1}+B}{2}$, etc. are compatible projectors, which represent yes-no questions about the physical system. Notice that $\mathcal{P}_{AB} = \mathcal{P}_A\mathcal{P}_B$ by itself is also a projector.

The experiment consists of letting the electron move along the z-direction with a uniform velocity v, after measuring its helicity as +1 and confirming that the eigenstate of the electron is $|\Psi^+_{\rm e}\rangle$. Then measuring the observables given by (4) required for testing the inequality (20) [20–22]. The quantum prediction for the left-hand side of (20) is

$$\mathcal{I}^{\rm QM}_{CH} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-1+\sqrt{2-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}\right) > 0, \tag{21}$$

which is always violated by arbitrary $v < c$.

Conclusions.—Although the existence of KS contextuality for a single spin-1/2 particle remains a disputed problem within nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, here we have shown that KS contextuality naturally emerges within a relativistic treatment. Within this approach, we have explored the quantum contextuality of the free Dirac electron through violations of a CHSH-like noncontextual inequality. A proposal for a possible experimental test using a CH-like noncontextual inequality has been also discussed. We have also investigated the quantum contextuality of a single relativistic hydrogen atom and proven that all eigenstates of the atom violate a noncontextual inequality. This further confirms that contextuality exists in the domain of relativistic quantum mechanics. We have observed that the violations of $|\Psi^+_{\rm e}\rangle$ for a free Dirac electron and the ground states of relativistic hydrogen atom are closed to the value $2\sqrt{2}$. We believe that it should be possible to observe these violations in future experiments.

J.L.C. is supported by National Basic Research Program (973 Program) of China under Grant No. 2012CB921900 and NSF of China (Grant Nos. 10975075 and 11175089). A.C. is supported by the Projects No. FIS2008-05596 and No. FIS2011-29400, and the Wenner-Gren Foundation. This work is also partly supported by the National Research Foundation and the Ministry of Education of Singapore (Grant No. WBS: R-710-000-008-271).

---

[*] Electronic address: chenjl@nankai.edu.cn

[†] Electronic address: adan@us.es

[‡] Electronic address: kwekleongchuan@nus.edu.sg

[§] Electronic address: phyohch@nus.edu.sg

[1] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3373 (1990); Rev. Mod. Phys. **65**, 803 (1993).

[2] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker, J. Math. Mech. **17**, 59 (1967).

[3] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 210401 (2008).

[4] P. Badziąg, I. Bengtsson, A. Cabello, and I. Pitowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 050401 (2009).

[5] G. Kirchmair *et al.*, Nature (London) **460**, 494 (2009).

[6] E. Amselem, M. Rådmark, M. Bourennane, and A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 160405 (2009).

[7] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** 190401 (2003).

[8] M. Nakamura, IEIC Technical Report **103** (215), 99 (2003).

[9] A. Grudka and P. Kurzyński, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 160401 (2008).

[10] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1947).

[11] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics (Nonrelativistic Theory). Third Edition* (Pergamon, New York, 1977), p. 198.

[12] O. Gühne *et al.* Phys. Rev. A **81**, 022121 (2010).

[13] M. Michler, H. Weinfurter, and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5457 (2000).

[14] Y. Hasegawa, R. Loidl, G. Badurek, M. Baron, and H. Rauch, Nature (London) **425**, 45 (2003).

[15] H. Katsura and H. Aoki, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 032301 (2006).

[16] H. A. Bethe and E. E. Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One and Two Electron Atoms* (Plenum, New York, 1977).

[17] B. A. Robson and S. H. Sutanto, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **40**, 1475 (2001).

[18] R. P. Martínez y Romero, Am. J. Phys. **68**, 1050 (2000).

[19] J. F. Clauser and M. A. Horne, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 526 (1974).

[20] Y. Aharonov and D. Z. Albert, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 359 (1981).

[21] Y. Aharonov and D. Z. Albert, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 228 (1984).

[22] S. Y. Lin, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **330**, 012004 (2011).